	WHENTIAL PROTECTION
W OCH	1 Came
FL	ORIDA

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) Image: COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) Image: Complaint No:						
AIRS ID#: 0112530 DATE: 06/22/2009 ARRIVE: 1:00PM DEPART: 2:00PM FACILITY NAME: ALPINE JAGUAR BODY SHOP FACILITY LOCATION: 4561 NW 8TH AVENUE OAKLAND PARK 33309-3936 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MICHAEL SCHMIDT PHONE: CONTACT NAME: PHONE: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/8/2006 / 1/8/2011 (effective date) (end date)						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑ No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?						
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No 2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No						

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check d appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

a)	maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	Xes 🗌 No
b)	monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	Yes 🗌 No
c)	considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	Yes 🗌 No
d)	implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	Xes 🗌 No

e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:

1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning		
cycles?	Yes	
2) recycling cleaning solvents?	⊠Yes	

3) using water based cleaners?----- [Yes] No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?	Yes	No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?		No

Elizabeth F. Susky

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

06/22/2009

No No

Date of Inspection

06/22/2010

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducted on 06/22/2009, AQD staff observed operations at Alpine Jacquar. The facility has two spray booths and one mixing room. The facility also uses a waste paint recycler and reduces usages of solvents. Mr. Michael Schmidt accompanied staff on the inspection and VOC logs will be sent.